## IN THE DISTRICT COURT OF ROGER MILLS COUNTY STATE OF OKLAHOMA

(1) Suzanne Broadbent, on behalf of	)		
herself and all others similarly situated,	)		
Plaintiff,	)		
v.	)	No. CV-2022-15	NOV 1 4 2023
(1) Presidio Petroleum LLC,	)		JAN BAILEY COURT CLERK ROGER MILLS COUNTY
Defendant.	)		

## JUDGMENT

This is a class action lawsuit brought by Suzanne Broadbent, on behalf of herself and as representative of a class of owners (defined below), against Presidio Petroleum, LLC ("Defendant"), for the alleged failure to pay statutory interest on payments made outside the time periods set forth in the Production Revenue Standards Act, 52 Okla. St. § 570.1 *et seq.* (the "PRSA") for oil-and-gas production proceeds from oil and gas wells in Oklahoma. On August 4, 2023, the Parties executed a Stipulation and Agreement of Settlement (the "Settlement Agreement") finalizing the terms of the Settlement.

On August 21, 2023, the Court preliminarily approved the Settlement and issued an Order Granting Preliminary Approval of Class Action Settlement, Certifying the Class for Settlement Purposes, Approving Form and Manner of Notice, and Setting Date for Final Fairness Hearing (the "Preliminary Approval Order"). In the Preliminary Approval Order, the Court, *inter alia*:

-

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Capitalized terms not otherwise defined in this Order shall have the meaning ascribed to them in the Settlement Agreement.

- a. certified the Settlement Class for settlement purposes, finding all requirements of
   12 O.S. § 2023 have been satisfied with respect to the proposed Settlement Class;
- b. appointed Plaintiff Suzanne Broadbent, as Class Representative, Randy C. Smith and David R. Gleason as Co-Lead Class Counsel;
- c. preliminarily found: (i) the proposed Settlement resulted from extensive arm's-length negotiations; (ii) the proposed Settlement was agreed to only after Class Counsel had conducted research regarding the strengths and weaknesses of Class Representative's and the Settlement Class claims; (iii) Class Representative and Class Counsel have concluded that the proposed Settlement is fair, reasonable, and adequate; and (iv) the proposed Settlement is sufficiently fair, reasonable, and adequate to warrant sending notice of the proposed Settlement to the Settlement Class;
- d. preliminarily approved the Settlement as fair, reasonable, and adequate and in the best interest of the Settlement Class;
- e. preliminarily approved the form and manner of the proposed Notices to be communicated to the Settlement Class, finding specifically that such Notices, among other information: (i) described the terms and effect of the Settlement; (ii) notified the Settlement Class that Plaintiff's Counsel will seek Attorneys' Fees, reimbursement of Litigation Expenses and Administration, Notice, and Distribution Costs, and a Case Contribution Award for Class Representative's services; (iii) notified the Settlement Class of the time and place of the Final Fairness Hearing; (iv) described the procedure for requesting exclusion from the Settlement; and (v) described the procedure for objecting to the Settlement or any part thereof;

- f. instructed the Settlement Administrator to disseminate the approved Notices to potential members of the Settlement Class in accordance with the Settlement Agreement and in the manner approved by the Court;
- g. provided for the appointment of a Settlement Administrator;
- h. provided for the appointment of an Escrow Agent;
- i. set the date and time for the Final Fairness Hearing as November 14, 2023, at 9:30
   A.M. in the Roger Mills County Courthouse; and
- j. set out the procedures and deadlines by which Class Members could properly request exclusion from the Settlement Class or object to the Settlement or any part thereof.

After the Court issued the Preliminary Approval Order, due and adequate notice by means of the Notice and Summary Notice was given to the Settlement Class, notifying them of the Settlement and the upcoming Final Fairness Hearing. On [November 14, 2023, in accordance with the Preliminary Approval Order and the Notice, the Court conducted a Final Fairness Hearing to, inter alia:

- a. determine whether the Settlement should be approved by the Court as fair, reasonable, and adequate and in the best interests of the Settlement Class;
- b. determine whether the notice method utilized by the Settlement Administrator: (i) constituted the best practicable notice under the circumstances; (ii) constituted notice reasonably calculated under the circumstances to apprise Class Members of the pendency of the Litigation, the Settlement, their right to exclude themselves from the Settlement, their right to object to the Settlement or any part thereof, and their right to appear at the Final Fairness Hearing; (iii) was

reasonable and constituted due, adequate, and sufficient notice to all persons and entities entitled to such notice; and (iv) meets all applicable requirements of the Oklahoma Rules of Civil Procedure and any other applicable law;

- c. determine whether to approve the Allocation Methodology, the Plan of Allocation, and distribution of the Net Settlement Fund to Class Members who did not timely submit a valid Request for Exclusion or were not otherwise excluded from the Settlement Class by order of the Court;<sup>2</sup>
- d. determine whether a Judgment should be entered pursuant to the Settlement Agreement, *inter alia*, dismissing the Litigation against Defendant with prejudice and extinguishing, releasing, and barring all Released Claims against all Released Parties in accordance with the Settlement Agreement;
- e. determine whether the applications for Plaintiff's Attorneys' Fees, reimbursement for Litigation Expenses and Administration, Notice, and Distribution Costs, and Case Contribution Award to Class Representative are fair and reasonable and should be approved;<sup>3</sup> and
  - f. rule on such other matters as the Court deems appropriate.

The Court, having reviewed the Settlement, the Settlement Agreement, and all related pleadings and filings, and having heard the evidence and argument presented at the Final Fairness Hearing, now FINDS, ORDERS, and ADJUDGES as follows:

.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> The Court will issue a separate order pertaining to the allocation and distribution of the Net Settlement Proceeds among Class Members (the "Plan of Allocation Order").

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> The Court will issue separate orders pertaining to Plaintiff's Counsel's request for Attorneys' Fees, reimbursement of Litigation Expenses and Administration, Notice, and Distribution Costs, and Class Representative's request for a Case Contribution Award.

- 1. The Court, for purposes of this Final Judgment (the "Judgment"), adopts all defined terms as set forth in the Settlement Agreement and incorporates them as if fully set forth herein.
- 2. The Court has jurisdiction over the subject matter of this Litigation and all matters relating to the Settlement, as well as personal jurisdiction over Defendant and Class Members.
- 3. The Settlement Class, which was certified in the Court's Preliminary Approval Order, is defined as follows:

All non-excluded persons or entities to whom Presidio: (1) made Late Payments for oil and gas proceeds from Oklahoma wells during the Claim Period, and (2) the payments did not include statutory interest under Oklahoma's Production Revenue Standards Act ("PRSA"), 52 O.S. § 570.1 et seq. A "Late Payment" for purposes of this class definition means payment of proceeds during the Claim Period from the sale of production from an Oklahoma oil and/or gas well after the statutory periods identified in 52 O.S. § 570.10. Late Payments do not include any payment made on account of prior period adjustments.

Excluded from this class are: (1) Defendant, its affiliates, predecessors, and employees, officers, and directors; (2) agencies, departments, or instrumentalities of the United States of America or the State of Oklahoma; (3) any Indian Tribe as defined at 30 U.S.C. § 1702(4) or Indian allotee as defined at 30 U.S.C. § 1702(2); (4) publicly traded oil and gas companies and their affiliates; (5) officers of the court; and (6) Dan McClure, Kelly McClure Callant, FourPoint Energy, LLC, and Unbridled Resources, LLC.

The Claim Period means payments made or issued by Defendant dated between January 25, 2018, and November 30, 2022, subject to the terms of the Settlement Agreement regarding Released Claims.

4. For substantially the same reasons as set out in the Court's Preliminary Approval Order entered on the 21st day of August, 2023, the Court finds that the above-defined Settlement Class should be and is hereby certified for the purposes of entering judgment pursuant to the Settlement Agreement. Specifically, the Court finds that all requirements of 12 O.S. § 2023 have been

satisfied for settlement purposes. Because this case has been settled at this stage of the proceedings, the Court does not reach, and makes no ruling either way, as to the issue of whether the Settlement Class could have been certified in this case on a contested basis.

- 5. The Court finds that the persons and entities identified in the attached **Exhibit 1** have submitted timely and valid Requests for Exclusion and are hereby excluded from the foregoing Settlement Class, will not participate in or be bound by the Settlement, or any part thereof, as set forth in the Settlement Agreement, and will not be bound by or subject to the releases provided for in this Judgment and the Settlement Agreement.
- 6. At the Final Fairness Hearing on November 14, 2023, the Court fulfilled its duties to independently evaluate the fairness, reasonableness, and adequacy of, inter alia, the Settlement and the Notice of Settlement provided to the Settlement Class, considering not only the pleadings and arguments of Class Representative and Defendant and their respective Counsel, but also the concerns of any objectors and the interests of all absent Class Members. In so doing, the Court considered arguments that could reasonably be made against, *inter alia*, approving the Settlement and the Notice of Settlement, even if such argument was not actually presented to the Court by pleading or oral argument.
- 7. The Court further finds that due and proper notice, by means of the Notices, was given to the Settlement Class in conformity with the Settlement Agreement and Preliminary Approval Order. The form, content, and method of communicating the Notices disseminated to the Settlement Class and published

pursuant to the Settlement Agreement and the Preliminary Approval Order: (a) constituted the best practicable notice under the circumstances; (b) constituted notice reasonably calculated, under the circumstances, to apprise Class Members of the pendency of the Litigation, the Settlement, their right to exclude themselves from the Settlement, their right to object to the Settlement or any part thereof, and their right to appear at the Final Fairness Hearing; (c) was reasonable and constituted due, adequate, and sufficient notice to all persons and entities entitled to such notice; and (d) met all applicable requirements of the Oklahoma Rules of Civil Procedure, the Due Process Clause of the United States Constitution, the Due Process protections of the State of Oklahoma, and any other applicable law. Therefore, the Court approves the form, manner, and content of the Notice and Summary Notice used by the Parties. The Court further finds that all Class Members have been afforded a reasonable opportunity to request exclusion from the Settlement Class or object to the Settlement.

8. Pursuant to and in accordance with 12 O.S. § 2023, the Settlement, including, without limitation, the consideration paid by Defendant, the covenants not to sue, the releases, and the dismissal with prejudice of the Released Claims against the Released Parties as set forth in the Settlement Agreement, is finally approved as fair, reasonable and adequate and in the best interests of the Settlement Class. The Settlement Agreement was entered into between the Parties at arm's-length and in good faith after substantial negotiations free of collusion. The Settlement fairly reflects the complexity of the Claims, the duration of the Litigation, the extent of discovery, and the balance between the benefits the

Settlement provides to the Settlement Class and the risk, cost, and uncertainty associated with further litigation and trial. Serious questions of law and fact remain contested between the parties. The Settlement provides a means of gaining immediate valuable and reasonable compensation and forecloses the prospect of uncertain results after many more months or years of additional discovery and litigation. The considered judgment of the Parties, aided by experienced legal counsel, supports the Settlement.

- 9. By agreeing to settle the Litigation, Defendant does not admit, and instead specifically denies, that the Litigation could have otherwise been properly maintained as a contested class action, and specifically denies any and all wrongdoing and liability to the Settlement Class, Class Representative, and Class Counsel.
- 10. The Litigation and Released Claims are dismissed with prejudice as to the Released Parties. All Class Members who have not validly and timely submitted a Request for Exclusion as directed in the Notice of Settlement and Preliminary Approval Order (a) are hereby deemed to have finally, fully, and forever conclusively released, relinquished, and discharged all of the Released Claims against the Released Parties and (b) are barred and permanently enjoined from, directly or indirectly, on any Class Member's behalf or through others, suing, instigating, instituting, or asserting against the Released Parties any claims or actions on or concerning the Released Claims. Neither Party will bear the other's Party's litigation costs, costs of court, or attorney's fees.

- 11. The Court also approves the efforts and activities of the Settlement Administrator and the Escrow Agent in assisting with certain aspects of the administration of the Settlement, and directs them to continue to assist Class Representative in completing the administration and distribution of the Settlement in accordance with the Settlement Agreement, this Judgment, any Plan of Allocation approved by the Court, and the Court's other orders.
- 12. Nothing in this Judgment shall bar any action or claim by Class Representative or Defendant to enforce or effectuate the terms of the Settlement Agreement or this Judgment.
- 13. The Court finds that the portions of the Net Settlement Fund attributable to Class Members who timely and properly submitted a Request for Exclusion did not exceed 5% of the Gross Settlement Fund.
- 14. Entering into or carrying out the Settlement Agreement, and any negotiations or proceedings related thereto, and the Settlement Agreement itself, are not, and shall not be construed as, or deemed to be evidence of, an admission or concession by any of the Parties to the Settlement Agreement Further, this Final Judgment shall not give rise to any collateral estoppel effect as to the certifiability of any class in any other proceeding.
- Order(s), the Allocation Methodology, the Plan of Allocation, and distribution of the Net Settlement Fund among Class Members who were not excluded from the Settlement Class by timely submitting a valid Request for Exclusion or other order of the Court are approved as fair, reasonable and adequate, and Class Counsel and

the Settlement Administrator are directed to administer the Settlement in accordance with the Plan of Allocation Order(s) entered by the Court.

- 16. The Court finds that Class Representative, Defendant, and their Counsel have complied with the requirements of the Oklahoma Rules of Civil Procedure as to all proceedings and filings in this Litigation. The Court further finds that Class Representative and Class Counsel adequately represented the Settlement Class in entering into and implementing the Settlement.
- or responsibility to Plaintiff, Plaintiff's Counsel, or the Settlement Class with respect to the Gross Settlement Fund or its administration, including but not limiting to any distributions made by the Escrow Agent or Settlement Administrator. Except as described in paragraph 6.19 of the Settlement Agreement, no Class Member shall have any claim against Plaintiff, Plaintiff's Counsel, the Settlement Administrator, the Escrow Agent, or any of their respective designees or agents based on the distributions made substantially in accordance with the Settlement Agreement, the Court's Plan of Allocation Order(s), or other orders of the Court.
- 18. Any Class Member who receives a Distribution Check that he/she/it is not legally entitled to receive is hereby ordered to either (a) pay the appropriate portion(s) of the Distribution Check to the person(s) legally entitled to receive such portion(s) or (b) return the Distribution Check uncashed to the Settlement Administrator.

- 19. All matters regarding the administration of the Escrow Account and the taxation of funds in the Escrow Account or distributed from the Escrow Account shall be handled in accordance with the Settlement Agreement.
- 20. Any order approving or modifying any Plan of Allocation Order, the application by Class Counsel for an award of Attorneys' Fees or reimbursement of Litigation Expenses and Administration, Notice, and Distribution Costs, or the request of Class Representatives for a Case Contribution Award shall be handled in accordance with the Settlement Agreement and the documents referenced therein (to the extent the Settlement Agreement and documents referenced therein address such an order).
- 21. Plaintiff's Counsel, Plaintiff, and the Settlement Class will only be liable for loss of any portion of the Escrow Account as described in paragraph 6.19 of the Settlement Agreement. Defendant shall have no liability for any such loss.
- 22. Without affecting the finality of this Judgment in any way, the Court (along with any appellate court with power to review the Court's orders and rulings in the Litigation) reserves exclusive and continuing jurisdiction to enter any orders as necessary to administer the Settlement Agreement, including jurisdiction to determine any issues relating to the payment and distribution of the Net Settlement Fund, and to enforce the Judgment.
- 23. In the event the Settlement is terminated as the result of a successful appeal of this Judgment or does not become Final and Non-Appealable in accordance with the terms of the Settlement Agreement for any reason whatsoever, then this Judgment and all orders previously entered in connection with the

Settlement shall be rendered null and void and shall be vacated. The provisions of the Settlement Agreement relating to termination of the Settlement Agreement shall be complied with, including the refund of amounts in the Escrow Account to Defendant.

24. Without affecting the finality of this Judgment in any way, the Court (along with any appellate court with power to review the Court's orders and rulings in the Litigation) reserves exclusive and continuing jurisdiction to enter any orders as necessary to administer the Settlement Agreement, including jurisdiction to determine any issues relating to the payment and distribution of the Net Settlement Fund, to issue additional orders pertaining to, *inter alia*, Class Counsel's request for Plaintiff's Attorneys' Fees and reimbursement of reasonable Litigation Expenses and Administration, Notice, and Distribution Costs, and Class Representative's request for a Case Contribution Award, and to enforce this Final Judgment. Notwithstanding the Court's jurisdiction to issue additional orders in this Litigation, this Judgment fully disposes of all claims as to Defendant and is therefore a final appealable judgment. The Court further hereby expressly directs the Clerk of the Court to file this Judgment as a final order and final judgment in this Litigation.

IT IS SO ORDERED this Hth day of November, 2023.

/s/ Jill C Weedon DISTRICT JUDGE

HONORABLE JILL WEEDON DISTRICT COURT JUDGE

## Approved as to Form:

Randy C Smith, OBA #21824 RANDY C. SMITH PLLC

One Leadership Square, Suite 1310

211 North Robinson Ave

Oklahoma City, OK 73102

Telephone: (405) 212-2786

Fax: (405) 232-6515

randy@rcsmithlaw.com -and-

David R. Gleason, OBA #31066

Moricoli Kellogg & Gleason PC

211 N. Robinson

One Leadership Square, St. 1350

**FOR** 

Oklahoma City, OK 73102

Telephone: (405) 235-3357

Fax: (405) 232-6515 dgleason@moricoli.com

COUNSEL COUNSEL

PLAINTIFF/CLASS

Burl Welst

Terry D. Ragsdale, OBA No. 15333
Bradley W. Welsh, OBA No. 18488
GABLE GOTWALS
110 North Elgin Ave., Suite 200
Tulsa, OK 74120
(918) 595-4800
(918) 595-4990 (fax)
tragsdale@gablelaw.com
bwelsh@gablelaw.com

COUNSEL FOR DEFENDANT

**Exhibit 1 Exclusions from Settlement Class** 

Company	Date Received	Opt Out
Sawtooth Energy Company, LLC	10/5/2023	Y
Rhino Resources Company, LLC	10/5/2023	Y
JMA Energy Company	10/5/2023	Y
Kaiser-Francis Oil Company	10/5/2023	Y
George B. Kaiser	10/5/2023	Y
BGBK Investments LLC	10/5/2023	Y
GBK Corporation	10/5/2023	Y
Marathon Oil Company <sup>4</sup>	10/18/2023	Y
Territory Resources LLC	10/18/2023	Y
Little Bear Resources, LLC	10/18/2023	Y
Gallegos Land & Cattle, LLC	10/18/2023	Y
Bounty Transfer, LLC	10/18/2023	Y

 $<sup>^4</sup>$  Marathon Oil Company is a publicly traded oil and gas company and thus is already excluded from the class definition.